Extreme Heat Assessment 2020–2024

Thermal and Ecological Spatiotemporal Trends to Assess Extreme Heat Hazard in Euskadi

Land surface temperature (LST) is obtained from Landsat images using the widely used radiative transfer equation. The thermal and ecological conditions are evaluated by computing urban heat island (UHI) and urban thermal field variance index (UTFVI) from LST data. The influence of vegetation, built area, presence of waterbody, and bare soil on LST is examined using land cover indices through pixel-level multivariate linear regression analysis (Abir et al., 2021). Land surface temperature (LST) is frequently used as an indicator for UHI and shows a positive correlation with the density of sealed surfaces while displaying a negative association with UGS (Aznarez et al., 2024; Rodríguez-Gómez et al., 2022). LST is used to quantify the extent and size of surface heat. LST is an integral variable in quantifying thermal hazard levels across cityscapes. Local topography, human activity, and specific urban heat island effects influence cities' dynamics and green spaces.

Datasets generated for Euskadi

Mean Land Surface Temperature and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index

The LST data generation process was commenced by adapting a NASA ARSET (2022) open-source code in Google Earth Engine (GEE). The initial script provided a foundational approach to retrieving daytime LST spatial data at 30 m pixels for the entire Euskadi region. Building upon this, the methodology was refined, incorporating robust techniques and additional parameters based on Kafy et al. (2021), enhancing the accuracy and applicability of the analysis to the Euskadi region. The LST data were obtained from Landsat 8 level 2 Surface Reflectance (SR) and Surface Temperature (ST) imagery (Collection 2 Tier 1), covering the hottest months (June, July, August, September) (Aznarez et al., 2024; Marquez-Torres et al., 2025) from 2020–2024. To ensure reliability, images considering minimal cloud cover ($< 10\%$) were selected Abir et al. (2021), and cloud/shadow pixels were masked using the

QA PIXEL band Abir et al., 2021; NASA ARSET, 2022. The spatial context was defined using OpenStreetMap (OSM) within the administrative boundary of Euskadi.

Vegetation-based emissivity correction was applied to improve LST estimation, following methods adapted from Sobrino et al. (2004) and Kafy et al. (2021). The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) was first calculated using the red (SR B4) and near-infrared (SR B5) bands from Landsat for the summer period (June 1st–September 30th) of 2020–2024 at 30 m of spatial resolution.

NDVI is calculated using Equation (1):

$$\text{NDVI} = \frac{\text{NIR} - \text{RED}}{\text{NIR} + \text{RED}} \tag{1}$$

where: NIR (Near-Infrared, band SR B5) implies high reflectance in healthy vegetation, and band RED (Red, SR B4) implies High absorption in healthy vegetation.

With NDVI mapping, we can identify and prioritize vegetation-poor, heat-exposed areas for greening interventions.

LST is derived from the thermal band (ST B10) using Equation (2), which is derived from Equation (3):

$$LST = \frac{T_B}{1 + \left(\frac{\lambda \cdot T_B}{\rho}\right) \ln \varepsilon} \tag{2}$$

where:

- $\lambda = 11.5\ \mu$m: central wavelength of Landsat TIR band

- $\rho = 1.438 \times 10^4\ \mu$m·K: Planck's radiation constant

- T_B: brightness temperature from band ST B10

- ε: surface emissivity estimated from NDVI

T_B (Brightness Temperature) implies raw thermal readings from Landsat. ε (Emissivity) implies estimation based on NDVI, indicating surface heat retention.

Vegetation proportion (P_v) was derived using min-max normalization of NDVI values across the study area. Where, P_v = proportion of vegetation is calculated using Equation (3).

Surface emissivity (ε) is computed as a linear function of P_v:

$$\varepsilon = 0.004 \cdot P_v + 0.986 \tag{3}$$

Simultaneously, NDVI was also evaluated independently to assess vegetation distribution and its relation to urban temperature patterns across the Euskadi region. NDVI is crucial

in estimating urban heat exposure because areas with higher vegetation cover tend to have lower surface temperatures due to evapotranspiration and shading effects.

Urban Thermal Field Variance Index (UTFVI)

The urban thermal field variance index (UTFVI), derived from Land Surface Temperature (LST), is a suitable indicator for assessing urban heat stress and eco-environmental conditions. It is another widely used indicator derived from LST to evaluate the urban environment's eco-environmental quality or thermal well-being. The urban thermal field variance index (UTFVI) is widely used to describe the UHI effect (Tomlinson et al., 2011). It can be quantified using Equation (4), calculated using Zhang's equation (Zhang, 2006).

$$\text{UTFVI} = \frac{T_s - T_m}{T_s} \tag{4}$$

T_s = LST value of pixels and T_m = the Mean LST of the area.

Threshold UTFVI value for ecological evaluation and thermal comfort

UTFVI range	UHI presence	Ecological evaluation index
< 0	None	Excellent
$0 - 0.005$	Weak	Good
$0.005 - 0.010$	Middle	Normal
$0.010 - 0.015$	Strong	Bad
$0.015 - 0.020$	Stronger	Worse
> 0.020	Strongest	Worst

Table 1: UTFVI classification thresholds

These hotspots have altered microclimates, including stagnant air flow, high humidity, and increased pollution (Ge et al., 2010; Sejati et al., 2019). UTFVI also increases convection, which leads to increased thunderstorms (Singh et al., 2017).

Application

Urban designers and planners can use UTFVI to identify thermally sensitive zones, which opens the door to green infrastructure, shaded pedestrian paths, and cooling centers. Also, forecasting UTFVI patterns in the future can aid cities to devise climate-adaptation policy

and reduce heat-related health hazards (Wang et al., 2017). Therefore, UTFVI is an effective tool in planning heat-resilient as well as human-sensitive urban composition.

References

Abir, F. A., Ahmmed, S., Sarker, S. H., & Fahim, A. U. (2021). Thermal and ecological assessment based on land surface temperature and quantifying multivariate controlling factors in bogura, bangladesh. *Heliyon*, *7*(9).

Aznarez, C., Kumar, S., Marquez-Torres, A., Pascual, U., & Baró, F. (2024). Ecosystem service mismatches evidence inequalities in urban heat vulnerability. *Science of the Total Environment*, *922*, 171215.

Ge, J., Qin, D., Zhao, Y., Pan, C., Jiang, S., & Liu, F. (2010). Dissipation and residue of famoxadone in grape and soil. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, *162*(1), 219–224. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-009-0790-3

Kafy, A. A., Rahman, M. S., Islam, M., Al Rakib, A., Islam, M. A., Khan, M. H. H., & Sattar, G. S. (2021). Prediction of seasonal urban thermal field variance index using machine learning algorithms in cumilla, bangladesh. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *64*, 102542.

Marquez-Torres, A., Kumar, S., Aznarez, C., & Jenerette, G. D. (2025). Assessing the cooling potential of green and blue infrastructure from twelve us cities with contrasting climate conditions. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, *104*, 128660.

NASA ARSET. (2022). Arset-satellite remote sensing for measuring urban heat islands and constructing heat vulnerability indices [Accessed 2022].

Rodríguez-Gómez, F., Fernández-Canero, R., Pérez, G., del Campo-Ávila, J., López-Rodríguez, D., & Pérez-Urrestarazu, L. (2022). Detection of unfavourable urban areas with higher temperatures and lack of green spaces using satellite imagery in sixteen spanish cities. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, *78*, 127783.

Sejati, A. W., Buchori, I., & Rudiarto, I. (2019). The spatio-temporal trends of urban growth and surface urban heat islands over two decades in the semarang metropolitan region. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *46*, 101432.

Singh, P., Kikon, N., & Verma, P. (2017). Impact of land use change and urbanization on urban heat island in lucknow city, central india. a remote sensing-based estimate. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *32*, 100–114.

Sobrino, J. A., Jiménez-Muñoz, J. C., & Paolini, L. (2004). Land surface temperature retrieval from landsat tm 5. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *90*(4), 434–440.

Tomlinson, C. J., Chapman, L., Thomas, J. E., & Baker, C. J. (2011). Including the urban heat island in spatial heat health risk assessment strategies: A case study for

birmingham, uk. *International Journal of Health Geographics, 46*, 118–124. https://doi.org/10.1080/00049670.1997.10755790

Wang, H., Zhang, Y., Tsou, J. Y., & Li, Y. (2017). Surface urban heat island analysis of shanghai (china) based on the change of land use and land cover. *Sustainability, 9*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9091538

Zhang, Y. (2006). Land surface temperature retrieval from cbers-02 irmss thermal infrared data and its applications in quantitative analysis of urban heat island effect. *Journal of Remote Sensing*, 789–797. https://doi.org/10.11834/jrs.200605117